

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:30 PM
To: HarborComments
Subject: Re: Clean Up Portland Harbor
Attachments: 348664568499761360.pdf



Clean Up Portland Harbor

Letter

Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan.

First Name (b) (6)
Last Name (b) (6)
E-mail (b) (6)

Message (500 Character Limit)

In particular, breaking up the cleanup of the port at Swan Island into smaller "projects" is exactly what the industries want-- and they are already claiming it as a big win they can get away with for much cheaper.

In the time I spend on the river, I also disproportionately see people of color and low income folks fishing in the Superfund site. They are the ones whose health is most impacted, and social justice demands that we protect everyone who uses the river, especially those who depend on it to supplement their diets.

In short-- more sediment removal required/less capping, and keep it one big project so all those responsible have to stay at the table until it is DONE!

Thank you.